

Third Examination *solutions*

Math 320, David Pierce

May 25, 2011

Instructions. Write solutions on separate sheets; you may keep *this* sheet. In Problem 3, do not assume the Axiom of Choice. Problem 1 is worth 15 points; the other three problems are worth 5 points each.

Problem 1. For each of the following sets, write its cardinality as \aleph_α or \beth_α for some ordinal α . All operations involving numbers \aleph_α or \beth_α are cardinal operations; all operations involving ω are ordinal operations.

- | | |
|---|---|
| a) ω | i) $\aleph_\omega + \aleph_{\omega^\omega}$ |
| b) ω^ω | j) $\aleph_{\omega^\omega} \cdot \aleph_\omega$ |
| c) $\sup\{\omega, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots\}$ | k) $\aleph_0^{\aleph_0}$ |
| d) the set of countable ordinals | l) $\sup\{\aleph_0, \aleph_0^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_0^{\aleph_0^{\aleph_0}}, \dots\}$ |
| e) \mathbb{R} | m) $\aleph_{\omega^{2 \cdot 3 + \omega}}^{\aleph_{\omega^\omega}}$ |
| f) ${}^\omega\mathbb{R}$ | n) $\beth_{\omega+1}^{\beth_\omega}$ |
| g) the set of uncountable subsets of \mathbb{R} | o) $\mathcal{P}(\beth_\omega)$ |
| h) $\aleph_{\omega^\omega} + \aleph_\omega$ | |

Solution.

- $\omega = \aleph_0$ [it is already a cardinal, so the cardinality of ω is itself, which is \aleph_0]
- ω^ω has cardinality \aleph_0 [remember that ω^ω is the *ordinal* power; see §5.4 of the notes]
- $\sup\{\omega, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots\} = \bigcup\{\omega, \omega^\omega, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots\}$, the union of a nonempty countable set of countably infinite sets [by part (b)], so its cardinality is \aleph_0 [this is a special case of Theorem 123 of the notes]
- the set of countable ordinals is exactly the first uncountable ordinal, which is therefore itself a cardinal, namely \aleph_1

e) \mathbb{R} has cardinality \beth_1 [since $\mathbb{R} \approx \mathcal{P}(\omega) \approx {}^\omega 2 \approx 2^{\aleph_0}$ (the cardinal power), which is \beth_1 by definition]

f) $\text{card}({}^\omega \mathbb{R}) = (2^{\aleph_0})^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0 \cdot \aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0} = \beth_1$

g) The set of uncountable subsets of \mathbb{R} has cardinality \beth_2 . [Denote the set of uncountable subsets of \mathbb{R} by a . Then $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus a$ is the set b of countable subsets of \mathbb{R} . Since $b \preccurlyeq {}^\omega \mathbb{R}$, we have $\text{card}(b) \leq \beth_1$ by part (f). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \beth_2 = \text{card}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})) &= \text{card}(a \cup b) \leq \text{card}(a) + \text{card}(b) \\ &\leq \text{card}(a) + \beth_1 = \max(\text{card}(a), \beth_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beth_1 < \beth_2$, we must have $\beth_2 \leq \text{card}(a)$. But also

$$\text{card}(a) \leq \text{card}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})) = \beth_2.$$

Therefore $\text{card}(a) = \beth_2$. Similarly, whenever $c \subset d$ and $\text{card}(c) < \text{card}(d)$, but d is infinite, then $\text{card}(d \setminus c) = \text{card}(d)$.

h) $\aleph_{\omega^\omega} + \aleph_\omega = \aleph_{\omega^\omega}$ [the greater of the two alephs]

i) $\aleph_\omega + \aleph_{\omega^\omega} = \aleph_{\omega^\omega}$ [as in part (i)]

j) $\aleph_{\omega^\omega} \cdot \aleph_\omega = \aleph_{\omega^\omega}$ [as in parts (i) and (j)]

k) $\aleph_0^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0} = \beth_1$ [since $2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_0^{\aleph_0} \leq (2^{\aleph_0})^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0 \cdot \aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}$; see Exercise 30]

l) $\sup\{\aleph_0, \aleph_0^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_0^{\aleph_0^{\aleph_0}}, \dots\} = \sup\{\aleph_0, 2^{\aleph_0}, 2^{2^{\aleph_0}}, \dots\}$ [as in (k)], and

$$\sup\{\aleph_0, 2^{\aleph_0}, 2^{2^{\aleph_0}}, \dots\} = \sup\{\beth_0, \beth_1, \beth_2, \dots\} = \beth_\omega$$

[by definition of the beths; compare Exercise 35]

m) $\aleph_{\omega^{2 \cdot 3 + \omega}}^{\aleph_{\omega^\omega}} = 2^{\aleph_{\omega^\omega}}$ [as in (k), since $2 \leq \omega^2 \cdot 3 + \omega \leq \omega^\omega$; the cardinal $2^{\aleph_{\omega^\omega}}$ is \aleph_α for some unknown α , but I do not know whether it is \beth_β for any β ; see Exercise 34; note that the given cardinal must be understood as κ^λ , where $\kappa = \aleph_{\omega^{2 \cdot 3 + \omega}}$ and $\lambda = \aleph_{\omega^\omega}$]

n) $\beth_{\omega+1}^{\beth_\omega} = (2^{\beth_\omega})^{\beth_\omega} = 2^{\beth_\omega \cdot \beth_\omega} = 2^{\beth_\omega} = \beth_{\omega+1}$

o) $\text{card}(\mathcal{P}(\beth_\omega)) = 2^{\beth_\omega} = \beth_{\omega+1}$

Remark. Five of the exercises involved the beths (the numbers \beth_α).

Problem 2.

a) Write the definitions of the cardinal product $\kappa \cdot \lambda$ and the cardinal power κ^λ .

b) Show that $(\kappa^\lambda)^\mu = \kappa^{\lambda \cdot \mu}$.

Solution.

a) $\kappa \cdot \lambda = \text{card}(\kappa \times \lambda)$ and $\kappa^\lambda = \text{card}({}^\lambda\kappa)$.

b) [*Short version:*] There is a bijection from ${}^\mu({}^\lambda\kappa)$ to ${}^{\lambda \times \mu}\kappa$, namely the function that converts a function f on μ (where $f(\alpha)$ is a function $x \mapsto f(\alpha)(x)$ from λ to κ for all α in μ) to the function

$$(x, y) \mapsto f(y)(x).$$

[*Long version:*] We show there is a bijection Φ from ${}^\mu({}^\lambda\kappa)$ to ${}^{\lambda \times \mu}\kappa$. An element of ${}^\mu({}^\lambda\kappa)$ is a function f from μ to ${}^\lambda\kappa$. In particular, if $\alpha \in \mu$, then $f(\alpha)$ is a function from λ to κ . We can denote this function by

$$x \mapsto f(\alpha)(x).$$

We can convert f into a function $\Phi(f)$ from $\lambda \times \mu$ into κ by defining

$$\Phi(f)(x, y) = f(y)(x).$$

Then Φ is the desired bijection. Indeed, we can define a function Ψ from ${}^{\lambda \times \mu}\kappa$ to ${}^\mu({}^\lambda\kappa)$ so that, if $g \in {}^{\lambda \times \mu}\kappa$, and $\alpha \in \mu$, then $\Psi(g)(\alpha)$ is the function

$$x \mapsto g(x, \alpha)$$

from λ to κ . Then Ψ is the inverse of Φ , since

$$\Phi(\Psi(g))(x, y) = \Psi(g)(y)(x) = g(x, y),$$

so $\Phi(\Psi(g)) = g$, and

$$\Psi(\Phi(f))(y)(x) = \Phi(f)(x, y) = f(y)(x),$$

so $\Psi(\Phi(f)) = f$.

Remark. Part (b) was part of Exercise 25.

Problem 3. Let a be some nonempty set.

a) What is a choice-function for a ?

b) Define a set b such that every subset of b that is linearly ordered by \subset has an upper bound in b , and every maximal element of b (with respect to \subset) is a choice-function for a .

Solution.

a) A choice-function for a is a function from $\mathcal{P}(a) \setminus \{0\}$ (or $\mathcal{P}(a)$) to a such that

$$f(x) \in x$$

for all nonempty subsets x of a .

- b) Let b be the set of functions f such that the domain of f is a subset of $\mathcal{P}(a) \setminus \{0\}$ and $f(x) \in x$ whenever x is a nonempty subset of a . In particular, if the domain of f is all of $\mathcal{P}(a) \setminus \{0\}$, then f is a choice-function for a . Suppose $g \in b$, but the domain of g is a proper subset of $\mathcal{P}(a) \setminus \{0\}$. Then some element c of $\mathcal{P}(a) \setminus \{0\}$ is not in the domain of g . Then c has an element d , and therefore $g \cup \{(c, d)\}$ is an element of b that is greater (with respect to \subset) than g ; so g is not maximal. Thus a maximal element of b must have domain $\mathcal{P}(a) \setminus \{0\}$ and therefore be a choice-function for a .

Remark. Part (b) was part of Exercise 24.

Problem 4. Recall the definition

$$\mathbf{R}(0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{R}(\alpha + 1) = \mathbf{R}(\alpha), \quad \mathbf{R}(\beta) = \bigcup \{\mathbf{R}(x) : x \in \beta\},$$

where β is a limit. Show that, for every subset a of $\bigcup \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{ON}]$, there is

- a) α such that $a \subseteq \mathbf{R}(\alpha)$,
 b) β such that $a \in \mathbf{R}(\beta)$.

Solution. The definition of \mathbf{R} is given incorrectly in the statement of the problem. [This was my mistake. The correct definition had been given in the exercises, just before Exercise 28.] Under the given incorrect definition, $\mathbf{R}(\alpha) = 0$ for all α , so that $\bigcup \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{ON}] = 0$. The only subset of this is 0, and this is a subset of each $\mathbf{R}(\alpha)$, but it is not an element of any $\mathbf{R}(\alpha)$, since they are all empty. [This would have been an acceptable answer.]

Under the correct definition, $\mathbf{R}(\alpha + 1) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}(\alpha))$. Then the problem can be solved as follows.

- a) Note that $\bigcup \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{ON}] = \bigcup \{\mathbf{R}(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbf{ON}\}$. If b is a member of this, let $f(b)$ be the least ordinal α such that $b \in \mathbf{R}(\alpha)$. Let $\gamma = \sup\{f(x) : x \in a\}$. Then

$$a \subseteq \bigcup \{\mathbf{R}(\delta) : \delta \leq \gamma\} \subseteq \bigcup \{\mathbf{R}(\delta) : \delta < \gamma + \omega\} = \mathbf{R}(\gamma + \omega)$$

(since $\gamma + \omega$ is a limit). So we can let $\alpha = \gamma + \omega$.

- b) If α is as in (a), then $a \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}(\alpha))$, which is $\mathbf{R}(\alpha + 1)$; so we can let $\beta = \alpha + 1$.

Remark. In part (a), the ordinal $f(b)$ must be a successor, which is $\text{rank}(b) + 1$ by definition of the rank function.

Scores.

	EA	PC	AF	Mİ	OŞ	NT	ÖT
1	4	5	5	1	5	11	5
2	1	2	3	1	3	5	4
3	—	2	1	—	1	4	2
4	—	1	2	—	0	3	0
	5	10	11	2	9	23	11