

Math 736, Model-Theory, 2001, fall. Here are some additional notes on terms and formulas; Problems 5 and 6 constitute Homework II. Revised, 25 October 2001.

Fix a signature \mathcal{L} . Let c , f and R range respectively over the constant-, function- and relation-symbols of \mathcal{L} ; let \mathcal{M} range over $\mathfrak{Mod}(\mathcal{L})$; let i , k , m , and n range over ω .

For each n , we want to define a set $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$, of n -ary **terms of \mathcal{L}** . Each t in $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ should have, for each \mathcal{M} , an interpretation $t^{\mathcal{M}}$, which is an n -ary function on M . We want the terms and their interpretations to satisfy the following requirements.

0. For each c , there is t in $\text{Tm}^0(\mathcal{L})$ such that $t^{\mathcal{M}}$ is $c^{\mathcal{M}}$ for each \mathcal{M} .
1. If f is n -ary, then there is t in $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ such that $t^{\mathcal{M}}$ is $f^{\mathcal{M}}$ for each \mathcal{M} .
2. There is t in $\text{Tm}^1(\mathcal{L})$ such that $t^{\mathcal{M}}$ is id_M for each \mathcal{M} .
3. For every function $\sigma : m \rightarrow n$, and for every u in $\text{Tm}^m(\mathcal{L})$, there is t in $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ such that $t^{\mathcal{M}}$ is

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto u^{\mathcal{M}}(a_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(m-1)}) : M^n \rightarrow M$$

for each \mathcal{M} .

4. For each u in $\text{Tm}^m(\mathcal{L})$, and for any t_0, \dots, t_{m-1} in $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$, there is t in $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ such that $t^{\mathcal{M}}$ is $u^{\mathcal{M}} \circ (t_0^{\mathcal{M}}, \dots, t_{m-1}^{\mathcal{M}})$ for each \mathcal{M} .
5. No terms t exist whose interpretations $t^{\mathcal{M}}$ are not required by the preceding clauses.

Then the sets $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ of n -ary terms t , and their interpretations $t^{\mathcal{M}}$, can be defined as follows.

- (a) $\text{Tm}^0(\mathcal{L})$ contains the symbols c (each a string of length 1).
- (b) $\text{Tm}^{i+1}(\mathcal{L})$ contains the symbol x_i (a string of length 1).
- (c) $\text{Tm}^{n+1}(\mathcal{L})$ includes $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$.
- (d) If f is m -ary, and u_0, \dots, u_{m-1} are in $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$, then $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ contains $f u_0 \cdots u_{m-1}$ (the concatenation of the strings f, u_0, \dots, u_{m-1}).
- (e) $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ contains no other strings than those required by the preceding clauses, and if $t \in \text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$, then for every \mathcal{M} , the interpretation $t^{\mathcal{M}}$ is:

- $\mathbf{a} \mapsto c^{\mathcal{M}}$, if t is c ;
- $\mathbf{a} \mapsto a_i$, if t is x_i ;
- $f^{\mathcal{M}} \circ (u_0^{\mathcal{M}}, \dots, u_{m-1}^{\mathcal{M}})$, if t is $f u_0 \cdots u_{m-1}$ (where f is m -ary and the u_i are in $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$).

The definition of the interpretations of terms depends on how terms can be analyzed; so the validity of the definition must be checked. To do this, one can use the following.

Lemma. *A proper initial segment of a term is not a term; that is, if a string $\alpha_0\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n$ of symbols α_i is a term, and $m < n$, then $\alpha_0\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m$ is not a term.*

Proof. The claim is trivially true for terms of length 1. Suppose it is false for a term t of length $k+1$. Then t is $ft_0\cdots t_{n-1}$ for some terms t_i , but t has a proper initial segment of the form $fu_0\cdots u_{m-1}$, where the u_i are terms. Then there is some least i such that t_i is not u_i ; but then also one of these is an initial segment of the other. Thus the claim fails for a term of length k or less—if it fails for a term of length $k+1$. By induction, the claim holds for terms of all lengths. \square

Lemma (unique readability of terms). *Every term is uniquely of the form c , x_i or $ft_0\cdots t_{n-1}$, where the t_i are terms.*

Proof. If the analysis of a term as $ft_0\cdots t_{n-1}$ is not unique, then (as in the proof of the previous lemma) one of the t_i can be assumed to be a proper initial segment of another term. \square

Finally, by induction on the length of terms, every n -ary term is also $n+1$ -ary and has an interpretation as such. So terms and their interpretations are well-defined. Now we can check that the several numbered requirements of terms are met:

0. Let t be c .
1. Let t be $fx_0\cdots x_{n-1}$.
2. Let t be x_0 .
3. The required term t can be denoted $u(x_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m-1)})$, and can be defined inductively:
 - If u is c , then t is c .
 - If u is x_i , then t is $x_{\sigma(i)}$.
 - If u is $fu_0\cdots u_{k-1}$, then t is $ft_0\cdots t_{k-1}$, where t_i is $u_i(x_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m-1)})$.
4. The required term t can be denoted $u(t_0, \dots, t_{m-1})$, and can be defined inductively:
 - If u is c , then t is c .
 - If u is x_i , then t is t_i .
 - If u is $fu_0\cdots u_{k-1}$, then t is $fv_0\cdots v_{k-1}$, where v_i is $u_i(t_0, \dots, t_{m-1})$.
5. Every interpretation $t^{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfies one of the requirements:

- (a) The nullary term c is a term t such that $t^{\mathcal{M}} = c^{\mathcal{M}}$.
- (b) Let u be the unary term x_0 (whose interpretation in \mathcal{M} , or id_M , is required); let σ be the map from 1 to $i + 1$ such that $\sigma(0) = i$; then x_i is an $i + 1$ -ary term t such that $t^{\mathcal{M}}$ is $\mathbf{a} \mapsto u^{\mathcal{M}}(a_{\sigma(0)})$.
- (c) if an n -ary term t has a required interpretation, then the interpretation of t as an $n + 1$ -ary term is also required, since this interpretation is $\mathbf{a} \mapsto t^{\mathcal{M}}(a_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n-1)})$, where σ is the inclusion of n in $n + 1$.
- (d) Let u be $f x_0 \cdots x_{m-1}$; then its interpretation in \mathcal{M} , namely $f^{\mathcal{M}}$, is required. Suppose the interpretations of the terms t_i are required; then so is the interpretation of $f t_0 \cdots t_{m-1}$, since this interpretation is $u^{\mathcal{M}} \circ (t_0^{\mathcal{M}}, \dots, t_{m-1}^{\mathcal{M}})$.

Now we can move on to *formulas*. For each n , we want to define a set $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$, comprising the **n -ary formulas of \mathcal{L}** . Each ϕ in $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ should have, for each \mathcal{M} , an interpretation $\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$, which is an n -ary relation on M . We want the formulas and their interpretations to satisfy the following requirements.

0. There is ϕ in $\text{Fm}^2(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is $\{(a, b) \in M^2 : a = b\}$ for each \mathcal{M} .
1. If R is n -ary, then there is ϕ in $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is $R^{\mathcal{M}}$ for each \mathcal{M} .
2. For any m -ary term F of the signature of Boolean algebras, and for any $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{m-1}$ in $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$, there is ϕ in $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is $F^{\mathcal{P}(M^n)}(\psi_0^{\mathcal{M}}, \dots, \psi_{m-1}^{\mathcal{M}})$ for each \mathcal{M} .
3. For any t_0, \dots, t_{m-1} in $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$, and for any ψ in $\text{Fm}^m(\mathcal{L})$, there is ϕ in $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is

$$\{\mathbf{a} \in M^n : (t_0^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{a}), \dots, t_{m-1}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{a})) \in \psi^{\mathcal{M}}\}$$

for each \mathcal{M} .

4. For any u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} in $\text{Tm}^m(\mathcal{L})$, and for any ψ in $\text{Fm}^m(\mathcal{L})$, there is ϕ in $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is

$$\{(u_0^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{a}), \dots, u_{n-1}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{a})) \in M^n : \mathbf{a} \in \psi^{\mathcal{M}}\}$$

for each \mathcal{M} .

5. No formulas ϕ exist whose interpretations $\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$ are not required by the preceding clauses.

To meet these requirements, we propose to define the sets $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ of n -ary formulas ϕ , and their interpretations $\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$, as follows.

- (a) $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ contains $(t = u)$ whenever t and u are in $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$.

- (b) $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ contains $Rt_0 \cdots t_{m-1}$ whenever R is m -ary and t_0, \dots, t_{m-1} are in $\text{Tm}^n(\mathcal{L})$.
- (c) $\text{Fm}^0(\mathcal{L})$ contains \perp and \top ; and $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ contains $\neg\psi$ and $(\psi \wedge \chi)$ and $(\psi \vee \chi)$ whenever $\psi, \chi \in \text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$. (The symbols \perp and \top and \neg and \wedge and \vee can be supposed distinct from any symbols in \mathcal{L} .)
- (d) $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ contains $\exists x_n \psi$ and $\forall x_n \psi$ whenever $\psi \in \text{Fm}^{n+1}(\mathcal{L})$.
- (e) $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ contains no other strings of symbols than those required by the preceding clauses, and if $\phi \in \text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$, then for every \mathcal{M} the interpretation $\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is:

- $\{\mathbf{a} \in M^n : t^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{a}) = u^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{a})\}$, if ϕ is $(t = u)$;
- $\{\mathbf{a} \in M^n : (t_0^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{a}), \dots, t_{m-1}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{a})) \in R^{\mathcal{M}}\}$, if ϕ is $Rt_0 \cdots t_{m-1}$;
- \emptyset , if ϕ is \perp ;
- \emptyset^c , if ϕ is \top ;
- $(\psi^{\mathcal{M}})^c$, if ϕ is $\neg\psi$;
- $\psi^{\mathcal{M}} \cap \chi^{\mathcal{M}}$, if ϕ is $(\psi \wedge \chi)$;
- $(\neg(\neg\psi \wedge \neg\chi))^{\mathcal{M}}$, if ϕ is $(\psi \vee \chi)$;
- $\{\mathbf{a} \in M^n : (\mathbf{a}, b) \in \psi^{\mathcal{M}}, \text{ some } b \text{ in } M\}$, if ϕ is $\exists x_n \psi$;
- $(\neg\exists x_n \neg\psi)^{\mathcal{M}}$, if ϕ is $\forall x_n \psi$.

Problem 5. Show that the proposed definition of $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ is valid and meets the requirements.

Now let $\text{Fm}_0^n(\mathcal{L})$ be the smallest subset of $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ that contains the formulas $Rt_0 \cdots t_{m-1}$ and $(t = u)$ and that contains $\neg\psi$ and $(\psi \wedge \chi)$ and $(\psi \vee \chi)$ when it contains ψ and χ . Let $\text{Fm}_p^n(\mathcal{L})$ be the smallest subset of $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ such that:

- $\text{Fm}_0^n(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \text{Fm}_p^n(\mathcal{L})$;
- $\text{Fm}_p^n(\mathcal{L})$ contains \perp and \top ;
- $\text{Fm}_p^n(\mathcal{L})$ contains $\exists x_n \psi$ and $\forall x_n \psi$ when $\psi \in \text{Fm}_p^{n+1}(\mathcal{L})$.

(The subscript p stands for *prenex*, which describes the elements of $\text{Fm}_p^n(\mathcal{L})$.) Say that n -ary formulas ϕ and ψ are **equivalent** if their interpretations in \mathcal{M} are the same, for every \mathcal{M} .

Problem 6. Show that for every formula in $\text{Fm}^n(\mathcal{L})$ there is an equivalent formula in $\text{Fm}_p^n(\mathcal{L})$.